J. Indian Soc. Coastal agric. Res., 13 (2), 113-113, 1995

Effect of Brackish Water, Organic Matter, Lime and Gypsum on Grain Yield of Wheat in Salt Affected Soils of Bangladesh

A. C. AICH, R. MANDAL and A. H. M. AHMED

Benerpota Salinity Research Station, BWDB Satkhira. Bangladesh

In a study conducted in green house, grain yield of wheat on salt affected soils decreased significantly with the increase in salinity from EC 0.7 to 12.0 dS/m in irrigation water. Use of cowdung, straw, lime and gypsum produced significantly higher yield irrespective of the varieties and soils. Liming showed better results in acid saline soil whereas gypsum proved its superiority in saline and saline sodic soils. Application of all these ingredients could reduce the salinity resulting in higher yield. Cowdung and straw were almost equally effective to modify the salinity. Wheat CV. Kanchan showed the best performance as compared to CV. Akbar and Agrani.

Problem soils of Bangladesh mainly include coastal saline soil. Saline sodic and acid saline soils are also found in limited areas. The productivity of these soils is very low. Management of such soils with organic matter, lime and gypsum together with internal drainge has been found to be beneficial to improve crop yield (Poonia and Bhumbla, 1974, Bandyopadhyay and Bandyopadhyay, 1984, Shivakant and Rajkumar, 1992). During November to Mid March, the water salinity generally remains between EC 3 to 12 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, and its influence on the growth and yield of wheat should be of immense practical importance in Bangladesh, on which no report is available in this country. Thus, an experiment was designed to evaluate the effect of brackish water, organic matter, lime and gypsum applications on grain yield of three cultivars of wheat grown in salt affected soils.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Green house experiments were coducted during 199(-91 in rabi season at Benerpota Salinity Rdsearch Station, BWDB, Satkhira. Fots (35 cm × 30 cm) were filled up with 15 kg air dried soil passed through 2mm sieve collected from 0-15 cm depth soil profile in three sites at Satkhira, designated as S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub>, in each. The potted soils were treated with irrigation water of three different EC, organic matter (decomposed cowdung and straw), gypsum and lime in all possible combinations. P, K (80 and 60 kg/ha) and half of N (90 kg/ha) were applied at basal, while the rest half of N was equally divided between crown root and booting stage of growth. The treatment combinations used were as follows, viz. Brackish

water:  $W_0$ ,  $W_1$ ,  $W_2$  represent  $EC_{iw}$  0.7, 6.0, 12 0 dS/m, respectively; organic matter (OM):  $OM_0$ ,  $OM_1$  and  $OM_2$  represent nil, 15 t cowdung (C)/ha and 15t straw (st)/ha, respectively; gypsum (G) and lime (L):  $G_0L_0$ ,  $G_1L_0$ ,  $G_0L_1$  represent both G and L nil, 0.5 t G/ha and L nil, and 0.5t L/ha and G nil, respectively. Wheat cultivars: Akbar (A), Agrani (AG), Kanchan (K) were grown for each treatment. The ingredients were added to the potted soil 7 days before the seeds were sown and field moisture conditition was maintained. Twenty wheat seeds of uniform size were sown in each pot. Slightly saline water irrigation ( $EC_{iw}$  1.2 dS m<sup>-1</sup>) was applied for germination of seeds and thereafter irrigation was given as per the treatment and need of the crop. At maturity grain yield of wheat was resorded. Relevant physico-chemical properties of the soils at initial stage were determined following standard methods (Table  $\pm$ 1).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The grain yield of wheat decreased significantly due to increase in salinity of irrigation water in all the soils. Yield of grain (g,pot), arranged in the order of ECiw 0.7, 6.0 and 12.0 dS/m, was recorded as 65.10, 46.15 and 33.57 in S<sub>1</sub>, 75.18, 50.01 and 37.85 in S<sub>2</sub>, and 68.75, 45.38 and 34.11 in S<sub>3</sub> (Table 2). Similar results were also reported by other investigators (Tripathi and Pal, 1979, Eshan et al., 1986, Ojha and Bhargava, 1981, Maftoun and and Sepaskhah, 1989, Aich et al., 1993).

Application of lime, gypsum and organic manure produced significantly higher yield over the controls ( $G_0L_0$  and  $OM_0$ ). Cowdung and straw produced similar grain yield in  $S_1$  and S soils, whereas in  $S_2$  soil, addition of straw produced significantly lower yield than cowdung. Influence on wheat yield in saline soils due to the addition of FYM/organic matter (Maliwal and Paliwal, 1972, Singh and Singh, 1989, Shivakanta and Rajkumar, 1992) and gypsum (Mahajan et al.. 1939) and that on barley in saline alkali soils (Poonia and Bhumbla, 1974) have been reported. CV. Kanchan produced the highest yield as compared with CV. Akbar and Agrani in each soil. However, CV. Akbar and Kanchan produced identical yields in  $S_3$  soil. The superiority of lime was found in  $S_2$  soil and that of gypsum in  $S_1$  and  $S_3$  soils though these were not significant.

Significant interaction between brackish water  $\times$  variety, brackish water  $\times$  lime/gypsum, organic matter  $\times$  gypsum/lime, gypsum/lime  $\times$  variety was found not to be significant (data not shown). CV. Agrani and Kanchan showed similar yields when irrigated with sweet ( $W_0$ ) and moderately saline water ( $W_1$ ). However, at the highest salinity ( $W_2$ ), all

A. C. Aich et al.

Table 2. Effect of brackish water, organic matter, gypsum and lime on grain yield (g/pot) of three wheat cultivars grown in saline soils

Treats	Soils			,	Soi	ls	
	Sı	S <sub>2</sub>	$S_3$	Treats	Sı	$S_2$	$S_3$
$\mathbf{W}_{0}$	65.10a	75.18ª	68.75ª	WoxA	63.45	70.73 <sup>b</sup>	67.37
$\mathbf{W}_{1}$	46.15 <sup>b</sup>	50.01 <sup>b</sup>	$45.38^{b}$	WoxAg	64.18	$78.17^{a}$	68.56
W	33.57℃	37.85°	34.11°	WoxK	67.40	$76.65^{a}$	70.36
$OM_{o}$	45.68 <sup>b</sup>	42.60°	$44.98^{b}$	$W_1xA$	44.04	$48.51^{\rm d}$	43.38
$OM_1$	$50.60^{a}$	$61.84^{e}$	51.20a	$W_1 x A g$	45.48	$49.67^{\mathrm{od}}$	45.88
$OM_2$	45.46a	58.61 <sup>b</sup>	51.66ª	$W_1xK$	48.92	$52.45^{c}$	45.88
A.	47.02b	52.38 <sup>b</sup>	$48.39^{a}$	$\mathbf{W}_{2}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{A}$	33.55	37.49°	34.44
Ag	47.58b	$54.60^{\mathtt{ab}}$	49.13b	WzxAg	33.06	$3656^{\mathrm{c}}$	32.96
K	50.14ª	56.0, a	51.02a	$W_2xK$	33.11	39.12°	35.82
				I.S	NS	0.05	Ns
GoLo	45.53 <sup>b</sup>	51.43 <sup>b</sup>	46.72b	OMoxGoLo	43.64	40.01	41.28°
$G_11_0$	$49.70^{a}$	55.73a	51.76a	OMoxGaLo	46.48	44.37	$47.10^{d}$
$G_0L_1$	49.51a	55.73ª	50.07b	$OM_1 \times GoL_1$	46.91	43.42	$46.57^{d}$
WoxOMo	60.04 <sup>b</sup>	57.47°	62.04	$OM_1 \times GOLo$	47.17	57.83	48 83 <sup>od</sup>
WoxOM	68.36ª	87.11b	74.91 <sup>b</sup>	$OM_1xG_1Lo$	52.41	64.09	52.51'b
WoxOM 9	66.64ª	80.97b	$69.34^{6}$	$OM_1 xG_1 oL$	52.21	62.59	54.36ª
W <sub>1</sub> xOM <sub>0</sub>	$42.71^{d}$	$38.89^{d}$	$41.60^{f}$	$OM_2 \times G_0 L_0$	45.77	56.46	$50.04^{\circ}$
$W_1 \times OM_1$	49.02°	$56.69^{\circ}$	45.43°	$OM_2 \times G_1 LC$	50.00	57.73	50.61bc
				$OM_2$ x $GoL_1$	49.42	61.64	54.34°
				L.S.	NS	NS	0.05
WlxOM <sub>2</sub>	46.72°	54.44°	49.11	AxGoLo	44.32	48.86°c	45.23f
W <sub>2</sub> xOMo	34.289	31.44	$31\ 31^{h}$	AxG <sub>1</sub> Lo	47.52	55.46 sb	46.97def
$\mathbf{W}_{2}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{OM}_{1}$		41.71d	34.381	AxGoL <sub>1</sub>	49.21	51 81bc	52.92ab
W <sub>2</sub> xOM <sub>2</sub>	32.03°	40.42d	$36.54^{g}$	AgxGoLo	44.86	51.54bc	46.10°f
WoxGol		72.44 <sup>b</sup>	67.08	AgxG <sub>1</sub> Lo	49.88	54.36 ab	49 16 <sup>cde</sup>
WoxG <sub>1</sub> L		78.44°	68.83	Ag <b>x</b> GoL <sub>1</sub>	47.99	57.89ª	52.14 3bc
WoxGoL		74.67ab	70.37	KxGoLo	47.41	53 90abc	48.77 °
W <sub>1</sub> xGoL	-	49 61°	41.01	KxG <sub>1</sub> Lo	51.69	56.37ab	<b>54</b> 08ª
$\mathbf{W}_{1}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{G}_{1}\mathbf{L}$		89.51°	46.47	KxGoL1	51.33	57.96ª	50.51 <sup>bol</sup>
WıxGoL	47.11	50.92°	48.66	L.S	NS	0.01	0.01

PT 1		~	
Tab	10 %	. Co	ntd.

Treats	Soils			Soils			
	.S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	$S_3$	Treats	Sı	$\mathbf{S}_{2}^{^{\mathrm{h}}}$	$S_3$
W <sub>2</sub> xGoLo 31.49		3 3.25°	32.06			,	
W <sub>2</sub> xG <sub>1</sub> Lo 33.69		39.24d	34.72				
$\mathbf{W}_2\mathbf{x}\mathbf{GoL}$	<sub>1</sub> 35.64	$42.07^{\rm d}$	36.24				

significantly different at 1% by L.S.D. significantly different

Means followed same letter(s) are not Means followed same letter(s) are not bv LS=level of significant, NS=Not significant

the cultivars produced identical yields. Significant interaction between brackish water × gypsum/lime was also observed in S soil. Addition of lime produced comparatively higher yield under moderate (W,) to high saline (W<sub>2</sub>) water treatments. Bandyopadhyay (1986) stated that the application of lime increased grain yield of rice appreciably under the acid saline soil of Sunderbans. The treatments showed positive interaction on grain yield in S3 soil.

Interaction among brackish water x organic matter x lime/gypsum were found significant in S<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>3</sub> soils. In S<sub>1</sub> soil, the highest grain yield (72.73 g/pot) was recorded due to the treatment of  $W_0 \times OM_1 \times G_1 L_0$ which was followed by  $W_o \times OM_1 \times G_1L_1$ ,  $W_0 \times OM_2 \times G_1L_0$  and  $W_o \times OM_1 \times OM_2 \times G_1L_0$  $OM_2 \times G_0L_1$  treatments. However, in  $S_3$  soil, treatment of  $W_0 \times OM_1 \times OM_2$  $G_0L_1$  produced the highest yield. Similarly at high salinity  $W_2 \times OM_2 \times OM_2$  $G_0L_1$  and  $W_2 \times OM_0 \times G_0L_1$  produced significantly higher yield, i.e., 39.6 and 39.42 g/pot in S3 and S1 soils, respectively. Significant interaction was noticed among brackish water × variety × lime/gypsum in S2 and S3 soils. Significant interaction among organic matter × variety × lime/gypsum were observed in  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  soils. Highest grain yield of 68.83 and 56.57 (g/pot) were recorded due to  $OM_1 \times Ag \times G_0L_1$  and  $OM_1 \times K \times G_1L_0$  treatments in  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  soils, respectively. Minimum yield was obtained in  $OM_0 \times A$ × G<sub>0</sub>L<sub>0</sub> treatment in all the soils. However, none of the four factor interactions showed significant impact on grain yield of wheat (data not shown).

## REFERENCES

Aich A. C., Mandal, R., Khan, E. H. and Sarkar, D. H. (1993). Influence of salinity on yield and mineral compositions of grains of wheat, barley, millet and cheena in some coastal soils of Bangladesh. J. Indian Soc. Coastal agric, Res. 11 (2): 81-87.

## A. C. Aich et al.

- Bandyopadhyay, A. K. (1986). A note on the effect of liming on properties and crop soil growth in acid saline soils of Sunderban. J. Indian Soc. Coastal agric Res. 4 (1): 73-75.
- Bandyopadhyay, B. K. and Bandyopadhiay, A. K. (1984). Effect of application of farmyard manure on soil properties and yield of crops in coastal saline soil. J. Indian Soc. Coastal agric. Res. 2 (2): 63-70.
- Ehsan, B. A., Nazir., Pipracha, I. A. and Khan, M. A. (1986). Salt tolerance of three varieties. Pak. J. agril. Res. 24 (1):53-58.
- Maftoun, M. and Sepaskha, A. R. (1989). Relative salt tolerance of eight wheat cultivars.

  \*\*Agrochimica 33: 1-14.
- Mahajan, K. D., Mohite, A. V., Diftardar, S. Y. and Patil, N. D. (1989). Effect of gypsum and iron pyrities on yield and nutrient uptake of wheat in saline sodie soils. J. Mah. agric. Univ. 14 (1): 4-7.
- Maliwal, G. L. and Paliwal, K. V. (1972). Effect of fertilizers and manure on the growth and chemical composition of wheat irrigated with saline water. Agrochimica 16: 450-458.
- Ojha, R. J. and Bhargava, S. C. (1988). Genetypic differences in salt tolerance of wheat.

  Ann. agric. Res. 9 (1): 76-81.
- Poonia, S. R. and Bhumbla. D. R. (1974). Effect of farmyard manure (FYM) on the yield, chemical composition and calcium availability from gypsum and calcium carbonate to barley in saline-alkali soil. J. Indian Soc. Soil Soci. 22: 371-374.
- Shivakant and Rajkumar (1992). Effect of gypsum, pyritie pressmud and farm yard manure on soil properties and yield of rice (Oryza sativa). Indian J. agric. Sci. 62 (3): 191-195.
- Singh, M. V. and Singh, K. N. (1989). Reclamation techniques for improvement of sodic soils and crop yield. *Indian J. agric. Sci.*, 59(8): 495-500.
- Tripathi, B. R. and Pal, B. (1979). Seasonal salt accumulation and salt tolerance of wheat to saline water in the semi desert tract of Uttar Pradesh. *Indian J. agric. Sci.* 49(3): 206-210.